

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/728,991	12/08/2003	Haruhisa Masuda	246245US0	2812	
22850	7590 06/29/2006		EXAM	INER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			MULLIS, JEFFREY C		
1940 DUKE S ALEXANDR	IA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1711		
				DATE MAILED: 06/29/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/728,991	MASUDA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jeffrey C. Mullis	1711					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1, after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN. 136(a). In no event, however, may d will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mile, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 A	April 2006.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application	n.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-10</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		o by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• •	-					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawir	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 	nts have been received.						
 Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Burea 	· ·	en received in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies no	ot received.					
Attachment(s)							
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date りとよう よっして、 サール・リー・リー・リー・リー・リー・リー・リー・リー・リー・リー・リー・リー・リー・	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/728,991

Art Unit: 1711

Applicants abstract in not on a single sheet and is longer than 15 lines. Correction is required.

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I as well as the species propylene homopolymers in the reply filed on 4-14-06 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the search for both groups would not involve undue burden. This is not found persuasive because the search for the two groups is not coextensive.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Masuda (US 6,841,618).

Masuda discloses a composition containing poly ethylene vinyl alcohol copolymer and modified styrenic copolymer in applicants amounts (Table 1). The styrenic copolymer is

Application/Control Number: 10/728,991

Art Unit: 1711

modified with about 0.7% maleic anhydride by weight at column 10, lines 40-45.

Softener may be added at column 7, lines 34-60.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Shiraki et al (US 5,332,784).

Patentees disclose a composition containing a styrenic block copolymer and ethylene

vinyl alcohol block copolymer (Example 80 in column 41). Plasticizing oil may be added

to the composition at column 25, lines 50-55 at a level of 30 parts per 100 parts of block

copolymer (column 29, lines 25-30).

No Examples exist showing all of applicants 3 required materials in applicants' amounts.

However, selection of such from the patent would have been obvious to a practitioner

having an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention in the expectation of

adequate results absent ay showing of surprising or unexpected results.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jeffrey C. Mullis

at telephone number 571 272 1075, M-F, 9-5.

JCM

6-24-06

Jeffrey C. Mullis J Mullis Art Unit 1711 Page 3

Art Unit 1711